

**State of Minnesota**  
**OFFICE OF ENERGY SECURITY**

**Utility Information Request**

Docket Number: E002, ET2/CN-06-1115

Date of Request: February 20, 2008

Requested From: Lisa Agrimonti  
Briggs and Morgan

Response Due: March 12, 2008

James Alders  
Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy

Analyst Requesting Information: Steve Rakow

Type of Inquiry:    ..... Financial            ..... Rate of Return            ..... Rate Design  
                         ..... Engineering            ..... Forecasting            ..... Conservation  
                         ..... Cost of Service            ..... CIP                    ..... Other:

*If you feel your responses are trade secret or privileged, please indicate this on your response.*

Request No.	
43	Regarding the system alternative (revised), base plan, base plan plus double circuit, and base plan plus series compensation alternatives discussed in Appendix A-4 of the Petition, to the extent possible please provide data on the installed cost (in dollars—for example, see graph 5 and/or Appendix B to Appendix A-4) and losses (in MW— for example, see table 7 and/or graph 6). Alternatively, please provide a citation as to where the data can be found in information previously provided to the Department or a note that the requested data does not exist.

Response by: \_\_\_\_\_

List sources of information:

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Department: \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**State of Minnesota**  
**OFFICE OF ENERGY SECURITY**

**Utility Information Request**

Docket Number: E002, ET2/CN-06-1115

Date of Request: February 20, 2008

Requested From: Lisa Agrimonti  
Briggs and Morgan

Response Due: March 12, 2008

James Alders  
Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy

Analyst Requesting Information: Steve Rakow

Type of Inquiry:    ..... Financial            ..... Rate of Return            ..... Rate Design  
                          ..... Engineering            ..... Forecasting            ..... Conservation  
                          ..... Cost of Service            ..... CIP                    ..... Other:

*If you feel your responses are trade secret or privileged, please indicate this on your response.*

Request No.	
44	Regarding the economic analysis of losses discussed in section 12.8 of Appendix A-2 (page 162) of the Petition, please confirm that the loss data for both the 345 kV preferred alternative and the hypothetical 161 kV alternative does not exist. Alternatively, please provide the loss data for both alternatives.

Response by: \_\_\_\_\_

List sources of information:

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Department: \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_