

Direct Testimony and Schedules
Jared Alholinna

**STATE OF MINNESOTA
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
FOR THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION
OF GREAT RIVER ENERGY, NORTHERN
STATES POWER COMPANY (D/B/A
XCEL ENERGY) AND OTHERS FOR
CERTIFICATES OF NEED FOR THREE
345 KV TRANSMISSION LINES WITH
ASSOCIATED SYSTEM CONNECTIONS

PUC DOCKET No. E002/CN-06-1115
OAH DOCKET No. 15-2500-19350-2

TESTIMONY OF
JARED ALHOLINNA

On Behalf of

APPLICANTS

NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY, A MINNESOTA CORPORATION,
AND
GREAT RIVER ENERGY

May 15, 2008

Exhibit _____

1 I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS

2
3 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND YOUR BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

4 A. My name is Jared Alholinna and my business address is 12300 Elm Creek
5 Boulevard Maple Grove, Minnesota 55369.
6

7 **Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND WHAT IS YOUR POSITION?**

8 A. I am employed by Great River Energy as Senior Transmission Planning
9 Engineer. I am the lead transmission planning engineer responsible for the Twin
10 Cities – Brookings County 345 kV Project. As the lead planning engineer, I have
11 primary responsibility for the engineering analysis supporting the identified needs
12 for this project. I am also primarily responsible for the engineering analysis to
13 support the facilities proposed here to meet those needs.
14

15 **Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND WORK
16 EXPERIENCE.**

17 A. I earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Electrical Engineering (controls
18 emphasis) from Michigan Technological University in 1993. From 1995 to 2003,
19 I completed additional coursework at the University of Minnesota in electrical
20 engineering. In 2007, I earned a Master of Business Administration degree from
21 the University of St. Thomas. My resume is attached as Schedule 1.
22

23 **Q. FOR WHOM ARE YOU TESTIFYING?**

24 A. I am testifying on behalf of Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota
25 corporation (“Xcel Energy”), and Great River Energy, the joint Applicants for
26 Certificates of Need in this proceeding.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide an overview of the need for and engineering analysis supporting the Twin Cities – Brookings County 345 kV Project. I am sponsoring and provided information supporting the sections in Chapter 4 (Community Reliability and Generation Outlet Needs); 5 (System Configuration Analysis); and 7 (System Configuration Alternatives, Double Circuiting and Generation) relating to the Twin Cities – Brookings County 345 kV Project. I am also sponsoring and available to answer questions regarding the Southwest Minnesota – Twin Cities EHV Development Electric Transmission Study (“Southwestern Minnesota Study”), Appendix A-4 to the Application.

II. NEED – GENERATION OUTLET CAPABILITY

Q. WAS THERE A PRIMARY IMPETUS FOR THE TWIN CITIES – BROOKINGS COUNTY 345 kV PROJECT?

A. Yes. The Twin Cities – Brookings County 345 kV Project was prompted by a need for additional generation outlet in southwestern Minnesota to support the increasing amounts of proposed wind generation in the Buffalo Ridge area, Minnesota’s premier wind resource. The growth of wind generation development in the Buffalo Ridge area has placed increasing demands on the current transmission system to deliver this wind generated power to customers.

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE PRESENT EFFORTS TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL TRANSMISSION SUPPORT TO THE BUFFALO RIDGE AREA.

1 A. In 2003, the Commission granted Certificates of Need that approved the first set
2 of major transmission infrastructure improvements in the Buffalo Ridge region.
3 These improvements included a new 161 kV line, a new 345 kV line, and two
4 new 115 kV lines (“SW Minnesota 825 MW Facilities”). These facilities were
5 completed in early 2008.

6

7 **Q. ARE THESE TRANSMISSION IMPROVEMENTS SUFFICIENT TO SUPPORT**
8 **FUTURE WIND GENERATION AT BUFFALO RIDGE?**

9 A. No. By the end of 2008, it is expected that this increased capacity will already be
10 fully subscribed. As a result, shortly after obtaining the Certificates of Need for
11 the SW Minnesota 825 MW Facilities, Xcel Energy initiated the Buffalo Ridge
12 Incremental Generation Outlet Study (“BRIGO Study”) to determine what
13 improvements would be necessary to meet the growing demand for transmission
14 support in the Buffalo Ridge area.

15

16 **Q. WHAT WERE THE RESULTS OF THE BRIGO STUDY?**

17 A. At the outset, BRIGO Study participants determined that critical high voltage
18 transmission improvements, *i.e.*, 345 kV transmission facilities, would be required
19 to meet the growing demand for wind generation in the Buffalo Ridge region.
20 Given that such large improvements would take considerable time to permit,
21 design and construct, the study focused on finding shorter term solutions that
22 could increase capability by a few hundred megawatts of additional generation
23 outlet capability until higher voltage projects could be developed. The BRIGO
24 Study concluded that three new 115 kV transmission lines with associated
25 upgrades of underlying facilities could increase transmission capacity in the area
26 up to approximately 1,200 MW. Based on these findings, Xcel Energy sought

1 Certificates of Need from the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission
2 (“Commission”) to build these three new 115 kV transmission lines (“BRIGO
3 Projects”). On September 14, 2007, the Commission granted the Certificates of
4 Need for the BRIGO Projects.

5
6 The BRIGO Projects, shown on Figure 4-22 of the Application, include: (1) a
7 10- to 15-mile, 115 kV transmission line between the Lake Yankton Substation
8 near Balaton, Minnesota and a new Marshall Municipal Utilities substation near
9 Marshall, Minnesota; (2) a 15- to 20-mile, 115 kV transmission line between the
10 Fenton Substation near Chandler, Minnesota and the Nobles County Substation
11 northwest of Worthington near Reading, Minnesota; and (3) a 10- to 15-mile,
12 115 kV transmission line between the Yankee Substation south of Hendricks,
13 Minnesota and the Brookings County Substation near White, South Dakota.
14 Routing applications are currently pending for these three projects with the
15 Commission. A facility permit application has also been filed with the South
16 Dakota Public Utilities Commission for the South Dakota portion of the Yankee
17 – Brookings County 115 kV project.

18
19 **Q. WILL ADDITIONAL TRANSMISSION SUPPORT BE NEEDED IN THE BUFFALO**
20 **RIDGE AREA AFTER THE COMPLETION OF THE BRIGO PROJECTS?**

21 A. Yes. With the addition of the BRIGO Projects in the near term, the
22 transmission system will be able to deliver approximately 1,200 MW of power
23 from Buffalo Ridge. But even this increased capacity is insufficient to
24 accommodate all of the wind generation projects proposed in the Buffalo Ridge
25 area. For example, the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator
26 (“MISO”) reported in March of 2008 that wind developers have made

1 applications to connect 14,236 MW of additional generation in the Buffalo Ridge
2 area by 2014. The Twin Cities – Brookings County 345 kV Project will provide
3 additional transmission capability to satisfy a portion of the wind generation
4 interconnection requests arising from the Buffalo Ridge area.

5
6 **Q. HOW MUCH ADDITIONAL GENERATION CAPACITY WILL THE TWIN CITIES –**
7 **BROOKINGS COUNTY 345 kV PROJECT PROVIDE?**

8 A. Once constructed, the Twin Cities – Brookings County 345 kV Project is
9 projected to provide approximately 700 MW of additional capacity (above the
10 BRIGO level), raising total generation outlet capacity in the Buffalo Ridge area
11 from 825 MW (today) and 1,200 MW (BRIGO) to approximately 1,900 MW.

12
13 **Q. HOW DOES THE TWIN CITIES – BROOKINGS COUNTY 345 kV PROJECT**
14 **ACHIEVE THIS LEVEL?**

15 A. The Twin Cities – Brookings County 345 kV Project provides a high capacity
16 line from wind collection points in the Buffalo Ridge area to delivery points in
17 the Twin Cities area. This line also works in concert with the existing
18 transmission system to provide contingency backup for the regional transfer of
19 the wind power. In addition, the underlying system improvements mitigate
20 several existing limiters which inhibit the Buffalo Ridge outlet capacity and the
21 associated system additions allow for the efficient delivery of the wind power to
22 and from the high voltage network. Specifically, the Twin Cities – Brookings
23 County 345 kV Project creates an additional path for power from the Buffalo
24 Ridge area to the east, thereby avoiding two limiters, Lakefield Gen – Wilmarth
25 345 kV line and the Granite Falls – Willmar 230 kV line.

26

1 **IV. COMMUNITY RELIABILITY**

2
3 **Q. WILL THE TWIN CITIES – BROOKINGS COUNTY 345 kV PROJECT ALSO**
4 **ADDRESS LOCAL COMMUNITY SERVICE ISSUES?**

5 A. Yes. While the primary purpose of the Twin Cities – Brookings County 345 kV
6 Project is to increase transmission available to support the wind generation in the
7 Buffalo Ridge region, these facilities will also address long-term reliability needs
8 in communities in the project area.

9
10 **Q. PLEASE PROVIDE AN EXAMPLE OF A LONG-TERM COMMUNITY SERVICE**
11 **RELIABILITY BENEFIT OF THE TWIN CITIES – BROOKINGS COUNTY 345 kV**
12 **PROJECT.**

13 A. Many communities lie within the area affected by the installation of the Twin
14 Cities – Brookings County 345 kV Project. Community reliability benefits will
15 be created by the 345 kV facilities, which will provide additional capacity and
16 voltage support for the area electrical system.

17
18 The New Ulm and Redwood Falls areas will benefit from the presence of this
19 project because the new Franklin 345/115 kV transformer will provide a much
20 needed new power supply point to the 115 kV system. Also, the Franklin
21 345/115 kV transformer will benefit the Olivia and Bird Island areas. This
22 includes communities as far west as Renville, north to Lake Lillian, east to
23 Brownton, and south approximately two-thirds of the distance from Bird Island
24 to Franklin.

1 In addition, the Hazel Creek – Lyon County 345 kV segment will strengthen the
2 system’s ability to serve the Granite Falls area and surrounding territory, as far
3 east as Sacred Heart, north and west to Montevideo and Clara City, and south to
4 the Marshall area.

5
6 **Q. WILL THERE BE ANY COMMUNITY RELIABILITY BENEFITS OF THE PROJECT**
7 **TO THE GREATER TWIN CITIES AREA?**

8 A. Yes. The presence of this 345 kV Project will electrically tie communities in the
9 southwest metro to the larger metro region. This grouping extends from
10 Hutchinson on the northwest, to Gaylord on the southwest, to Belle Plaine on
11 the southeast, and Waconia on the northeast.

12
13 In addition, our proposal includes a new 345 kV connection and a new 345/115
14 kV transformer at Lake Marion Substation that will provide significant load
15 serving support in the surrounding growing communities. Absent this 345 kV
16 connection, it would be necessary to periodically build new 115 kV lines from
17 the existing 345/115 kV substations on the north, southward through the already
18 developed load area.

19
20 **Q. CAN THESE SAME COMMUNITY RELIABILITY BENEFITS BE ACHIEVED IF THE**
21 **345 kV LINE WERE CONNECTED AT SOME LOCATION OTHER THAN THE LAKE**
22 **MARION SUBSTATION?**

23 A. No. Because of the configuration of the load serving system surrounding the
24 Lake Marion Substation, a connection at Lake Marion Substation is critical to
25 avoid a significant increase in system losses and overloads to the existing system.
26 The connection also eliminates the need to construct additional transmission

1 lines from a different location into the existing Lake Marion Substation to
2 provide for adequate load serving capability.

3
4 **Q. PLEASE ELABORATE.**

5 A. The southern and southwestern parts of the metro are primarily served by a 115
6 kV network with power supply coming from four sources, all located to the
7 north: Eden Prairie 345/115 kV, Blue Lake 345/115 kV, Black Dog generation
8 and Inver Hills 345/115 kV. The 115 kV network supplies some load directly,
9 but a majority of the load is supplied from the underlying 69 kV system whose
10 sources are the 115/69 kV transformers at the Carver County, Scott County,
11 Glendale, Burnsville and Lake Marion substations. The Helena and Lake Marion
12 345/115 kV transformer additions provide new power supply points to the
13 115 kV system precisely where they are most needed – on the south side of the
14 area. The Lake Marion 345 kV/115 kV transformer is needed, as described
15 earlier in my testimony and in the Southwest Minnesota Study (Appendix A-4 at
16 pages 36-37 of the Application), to avoid construction of additional transmission
17 infrastructure in the area to support the 115 kV electrical network located to the
18 north of the existing Lake Marion Substation.

19
20 For example, if the new 345 kV connection were made to the south of the
21 existing Lake Marion Substation but not at the substation, this would result in
22 higher losses on the system and cause an overload to the Black Dog –
23 Riverwood 115 kV line. To address this overload in a long-term practical matter,
24 additional transmission facilities would be required, namely an additional 115 kV
25 line connecting the new “southern” substation with the existing Lake Marion
26 Substation and likely a rebuild of the existing 115 kV line between the two

1 substations. Even if these new facilities were built to address the overload, they
2 would not alleviate the increase in losses caused by the geographical disconnect
3 between the Lake Marion Substation and the new 345 kV line.
4

5 **Q. WHAT IS THE APPLICANTS' ULTIMATE RECOMMENDATION WITH RESPECT**
6 **TO THE LOCATION OF THE PROPOSED 345 kV/115 kV TRANSFORMER?**

7 A. Applicants request that the 345 kV transmission line tie directly into the Lake
8 Marion Substation.
9

10 **V. SYSTEM CONFIGURATION ANALYSIS**

11

12 **Q. WERE YOU INVOLVED IN THE STUDY WORK THAT WAS UNDERTAKEN IN**
13 **SUPPORT OF THE APPLICANTS' PROPOSED CONFIGURATION FOR THE TWIN**
14 **CITIES – BROOKINGS COUNTY 345 kV PROJECT?**

15 A. Yes. I was involved in the technical review of the Southwestern Minnesota
16 Study.
17

18 **Q. WHAT DID THE SOUTHWESTERN MINNESOTA STUDY EVALUATE?**

19 A. The Southwestern Minnesota Study was undertaken to examine what
20 transmission improvements could be made beyond the 825 MW improvements
21 and the BRIGO Projects to increase generation outlet capability in Southwestern
22 Minnesota. The Southwestern Minnesota Study is attached as Appendix A-4 to
23 the Application. The Southwestern Minnesota Study started with a base plan of
24 a single circuit 345 kV line from the Brookings County Substation to the
25 southwestern Twin Cities. The four primary options studied included: (1) the
26 Base Plan; (2) Base Plan – Double Circuit; (3) System Alternate, Brookings

1 County to Blue Lake and (4) System Alternative (revised). Planning engineers
2 also considered, but rejected a no build alternative.

3
4 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COMPONENTS OF THE TRANSMISSION SYSTEM**
5 **ALTERNATIVES.**

6 A. Each alternative studied is described below.

7
8 Base Plan: The Base Plan configuration is comprised of a single
9 circuit 345 kV line from the Brookings County Substation
10 through Lyon County, Franklin, Helena, Lake Marion and ending
11 at Hampton Corner. It also includes a 345 kV line from Lyon
12 County to a new Hazel Creek Substation near Hazel Run and a
13 230 kV line between Hazel Creek and Minnesota Valley
14 Substation near Granite Falls.

15
16 Base Plan - Double Circuit: This configuration is the same as the
17 Base Plan but also includes a double 345 kV circuit segment
18 portion between Lyon County, Franklin and Helena.

19
20 System Alternative, Brookings County to Blue Lake: This option
21 included a Brookings County to Lyon County 115 kV line and a
22 Lyon County – Hazel Creek – Blue Lake 345 kV line.

23
24 System Alternative (revised): This option included the following
25 345 kV line configuration: Brookings County – Lyon County –
26 Hazel Creek – West Waconia – Blue Lake 345 kV and a West

1 Waconia – Helena – Lake Marion – Hampton Corner 345 kV
2 line.

3
4 **Q. WERE ANY OTHER ALTERNATIVES DEVELOPED AFTER THE SOUTHWESTERN**
5 **MINNESOTA STUDY?**

6 A. Yes. Planning engineers developed a single circuit alternative comprised of a
7 345 kV line between Brookings County – Lyon County – Hazel Creek – West
8 Waconia – Helena – Lake Marion – Hampton Corner (“West Waconia”
9 alternative). This West Waconia alternative would parallel the Minnesota Valley
10 – Panther – McLeod – Blue Lake 230 kV line between Minnesota Valley and
11 West Waconia Substation and bypass the proposed Franklin Substation
12 connection.

13
14 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PROPOSED CONFIGURATION FOR THE TWIN CITIES –**
15 **BROOKINGS COUNTY 345 kV PROJECT.**

16 A. The proposed configuration is the configuration referred to as the Base Plan –
17 Double Circuit option in the Southwestern Minnesota Study. The proposed
18 configuration is depicted in Figure 5-8 of the Application.

19
20 **Q. WHY WAS THE PROPOSED CONFIGURATION FOR THE TWIN CITIES –**
21 **BROOKINGS COUNTY 345 kV PROJECT CHOSEN?**

22 A. The proposed configuration was chosen because the Southwestern Minnesota
23 Study confirmed that it was the best performing option. The proposed
24 configuration had the best results with regard to steady state and dynamic power
25 system performance, prevention of inadvertent (loop) power flows, power
26 transfer capability and power and energy losses, practicality (logistics of

1 construction and operation) and price. In addition, the double circuit in the
2 proposed plan increases the power flow from the Buffalo Ridge area to the Twin
3 Cities creating a more direct path for the power. This is due to decreased
4 impedance of the double circuit line and thus the amount of inadvertent (loop)
5 flows to other areas of the transmission system is minimized and losses are
6 reduced. This double circuit also carries approximately an additional 320 MW of
7 power when compared to other options that do not have a double circuited
8 portion.

9
10 The Southwestern Minnesota Study also demonstrated that the proposed
11 configuration will improve the electric system reliability in communities
12 throughout the Project Area as I described previously.

13
14 **Q. HAVE THERE BEEN ANY OTHER STUDY EFFORTS THAT AFFECT THE**
15 **CONFIGURATION PROPOSED FOR THIS PROJECT?**

16 A. Yes. Since the completion of the Southwestern Minnesota Study, the Renewable
17 Energy Standard (“RES”) legislation was enacted, new facilities have been added
18 to the electrical system and further analysis has been undertaken to identify
19 improvements that could provide significantly more outlet capability in
20 Southwestern Minnesota. Currently, the major limiter preventing further
21 development of outlet capability is the Minnesota Valley – Panther – McLeod –
22 Blue Lake 230 kV line (“Minnesota Valley – Blue Lake 230 kV line”). In the
23 Southwestern Minnesota Study, the plan was to reconductor the Minnesota
24 Valley – McLeod segment of the line to increase its capacity.

25

1 The utilities are presently performing the Minnesota Valley – Blue Lake 230 kV
2 line “Corridor Study” that generally analyzes how to further increase generation
3 outlet capability in the western part of the State. The Corridor Study is
4 scheduled to be completed in 2008 with a Certificate of Need application early
5 next year. If the Certificate of Need for that line is granted and when this rebuild
6 project and associated underlying system upgrades are constructed, early analyses
7 suggest generation outlet capability could exceed 3,000 MW.

8
9 Early analyses also indicate that given the dramatic increase in demand for
10 renewable generation created by the RES, the entire Minnesota Valley – Blue
11 Lake 230 kV line should be replaced by or upgraded to 345 kV, potentially
12 double circuited, to allow more generation development in the Buffalo Ridge
13 area.

14
15 Preliminary analyses further indicate that the voltage of the connection between
16 Minnesota Valley Substation and Hazel Creek Substation should be the same as
17 the voltage of the connection between Minnesota Valley Substation and Blue
18 Lake Substation to maximize the performance of the electrical system.

19
20 **Q. ARE THE APPLICANTS REQUESTING CONSIDERATION OF ANY CHANGES TO**
21 **THE CONFIGURATION PROPOSED IN THE APPLICATION?**

22 A. Yes. The Application proposes a new 230 kV line between the Hazel Creek and
23 Minnesota Valley substations. This new 230 kV line would replace a segment of
24 the existing Lyon County – Minnesota Valley 115 kV circuit. The results of the
25 Southwestern Minnesota Study, Appendix A-4 of the Application, confirm that
26 in the near-term, 230 kV is the appropriate voltage for this line segment. Based

1 on the recent study efforts I have described, however, the Applicants now
2 believe that this line segment should be constructed as a single circuit 345 kV
3 line, to match the voltage of the anticipated Minnesota Valley – Blue Lake
4 230 kV rebuild project, but be operated at 230 kV until other upgrades in the
5 area are complete.

6
7 **Q. DOES THE MINNESOTA VALLEY – BLUE LAKE 230 kV CORRIDOR STUDY**
8 **ALSO IMPACT THE APPROPRIATENESS OF THE WEST WACONIA**
9 **ALTERNATIVE?**

10 A. Yes. The West Waconia Alternative includes a 345 kV transmission line which
11 has the potential to be built alongside the vicinity of the existing Minnesota
12 Valley – Blue Lake 230 kV corridor. Given the anticipated rebuild or
13 replacement of the Minnesota Valley – Blue Lake 230 kV line with a 345 kV
14 voltage facility, the West Waconia Alternative is no longer a reasonable system
15 configuration alternative because two 345 kV transmission facilities would be
16 constructed near each other, which would place both at equal risk of an outage
17 during a single significant event, *e.g.*, storm. As a result, the reliability of the
18 transmission system would be reduced. Also, geographically separating the lines
19 would allow for additional generation interconnects.

20

1
2
3 **VI. ALTERNATIVES**

4 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ALTERNATIVES TO CONSTRUCTING THE TWIN CITIES**
5 **– BROOKINGS COUNTY 345 kV PROJECT THAT WERE CONSIDERED BY THE**
6 **APPLICANTS.**

7 A. As part of the study process that resulted in the proposal to construct the
8 CapX2020 Group 1 Projects, the Applicants considered a number of alternatives
9 including: (1) system configuration alternatives, such as different substations and
10 voltages, (2) non-system configuration alternatives, such as upgrading or double
11 circuiting, (3) “no build” alternative and (4) using generation as an alternative to
12 transmission.

13 **Q. THERE IS DEMAND FOR WIND GENERATION OUTLET IN SOUTHWESTERN**
14 **MINNESOTA. WHY ARE APPLICANTS PROPOSING A 345 kV LINE INSTEAD OF**
15 **SOMETHING BIGGER, LIKE A 500 kV OR 765 kV LINE?**

16 A. Planning engineers designed the 345 kV solution to provide significant additional
17 generation outlet capability in a manner compatible with the existing
18 transmission system and for a reasonable cost. Our Response to Department of
19 Commerce Office of Energy Security Information Request No. 40 provides
20 additional discussion. This Response is attached to the direct testimony of Mr.
21 Daniel Kline as Schedule 2.

22

1 **Q. HOW WOULD THE TWIN CITIES – BROOKINGS COUNTY 345 kV PROJECT**
2 **COMPLEMENT THE TRANSMISSION SYSTEM IF MISO’S 765 kV STUDIES**
3 **RECOMMEND 765 kV FACILITIES IN MINNESOTA?**

4 A. The Twin Cities – Brookings County 345 kV Project would fit in very well with a
5 765 kV overlay. If MISO recommends in the future to pursue 765 kV lines for
6 this area, the proposed Twin Cities – Brookings County 345 kV Project will
7 provide a foundation for these higher voltage lines to be more easily integrated
8 into the transmission system.

9
10 **Q. WERE LOWER VOLTAGE LINES CONSIDERED FOR THE TWIN CITIES –**
11 **BROOKINGS COUNTY 345 kV PROJECT?**

12 A. Yes. A lower voltage line was considered on the west end. Planning engineers
13 initially considered, as part of the System Alternative, a 115 kV connection
14 between Brookings County Substation and Lyon County Substation. This
15 resulted in poor steady state performance which led to this segment being
16 upsized to 345 kV in the proposed plan. Planning engineers determined that this
17 segment did not need to be double circuit 345 kV because a second circuit would
18 not improve system performance or increase generation outlet. As I described in
19 response to Minnesota Center for Environmental Advocacy Information
20 Request No. 9, which is attached as Schedule 2, the transfer capability of the
21 transmission system is limited not by the capacity of this segment or line
22 impedance, but rather is limited by the adjacent and underlying transmission
23 systems.

24

1 **Q. DID THE APPLICANTS CONSIDER A “NO BUILD” ALTERNATIVE?**

2 A. Yes. The no-build alternative was considered and found to be unreasonable.
3 The primary need for the Twin Cities – Brookings County 345 kV Project is to
4 increase the generation outlet from Buffalo Ridge. If this project is not
5 permitted and constructed, the generation capability for areas in and around
6 Buffalo Ridge would be limited to approximately 1,200 MW after the BRIGO
7 facilities are constructed. In addition, communities along the proposed 345 kV
8 line will not realize any benefits from having a more robust electric system that
9 will result from the construction of this 345 kV Project.

10

11 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY?**

12 A. Yes.

13

14 2177059v1