

NoCapX 2020
OVERLAND LAW OFFICE
P.O. 176
RED WING, MN 55066

(612) 227-8638

WWW.LEGALECTRIC.ORG

OVERLAND@REDWING.NET

Applicants-CapX2020 Information Request #6

Docket Number: E002, ET2/CN-06-1115

Date of Request: May 5, 2008

Requested From: Lisa Agrimonti/Mike Krikava
Briggs and Morgan

Response Due: May 26, 2008

As attorneys for whichever relevant utility applicant(s)

Party Requesting Information: Carol A. Overland for NoCapX 2020

Type of Inquiry: Financial Rate of Return Rate Design
 Engineering Forecasting Conservation
 Cost of Service CIP Other:

If you feel your responses are trade secret or privileged, please indicate this on your response.

Request No.	
6	Regarding renewable energy preferences found in Minn. Stat. §216B.243, Subd. 3a and §216B.2422, Subd. 4, the application states in Section 7.3.2 that the “renewable generation preference has been satisfied.” Where applicants claim that 700MW of wind generation outlet is provided by the Brookings – Twin Cities line, with thermal limits of 2428MVA, and there are two other lines also with thermal limits of 2428MVA: a. Is it CapX’s position that the 700MW claimed provided by the Brookings – Twin Cities line is sufficient to satisfy the renewable energy preferences cited above? b. The applications states “wind and other renewable energy generation.” What “other” types of renewable energy generation are contemplated? c. Identify with specificity any other considerations applicants take into account when stating that the “renewable generation preference has been satisfied.” d. Where FERC prohibits discrimination, how will applicants assure 700MW of wind generation has access to the Brookings – Twin Cities line?

Response by: _____

List sources of information:

Title: _____

Department: _____

Telephone: _____