



October 26, 2010

Burl W. Haar Executive Secretary Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 127 7th Place East, Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 55101-2147

office of

RE: In the Matter of the Route Permit Application for a 345 kV Transmission Line from Brookings County, South Dakota to Hampton, Minnesota Docket No. ET2/TL-08-1474

Dear Dr. Haar,

In accordance with the Commission's order of September 14, 2010, granting a route permit for sections of the Brookings County to Hampton transmission line, Office of Energy Security Energy Facility Permitting (OES EFP) staff submits the attached fact sheet concerning rights-of-way and easements for energy facilities in Minnesota. Section IV, subsection E ("Notification to Landowners") of the Commission's permit directs the permittees to:

Distribute to relevant landowners information prepared by state agencies regarding landowner rights with respect to right-of-way negotiations concurrent with the [a]pplicants' first contact with those landowners regarding right-of-way acquisition.¹

OES EFP staff submits this fact sheet as the "information prepared by state agencies regarding landowner rights with respect to right-of-way negotiations," such that the permittees may, in a timely fashion, distribute the fact sheet to landowners per the Commission's order. The fact sheet was developed collaboratively by OES EFP staff, Commission staff, and counsel from the Office of the Minnesota Attorney General.

Sincerely,

Ray Kirsch OES EFP Staff

_

¹ In the Matter of the Route Permit Application for a 345 kV Transmission Line from Brookings County, South Dakota to Hampton, Minnesota, Docket No. ET2/TL-08-1474, Order Granting Route Permit.

Minnesota Office of Energy Security

FACT SHEET



Rights-of-Way and Easements for Energy Facility Construction and Operation

This fact sheet has been developed by Minnesota Department of Commerce, Office of Energy Security Energy Facility Permitting staff. It is intended for informational purposes only, as a result of and in response to questions and comments made at siting and routing public meetings throughout Minnesota. This document does not constitute legal advice, nor should it be relied on as such. Landowners are encouraged to independently verify any statements made herein.

The Minnesota Department of Commerce, Office of Energy Security (OES) provides this fact sheet for landowners who may be affected by construction of energy facilities in the State of Minnesota. Its purpose is to explain the process by which utilities obtain rights-of-way for new energy facilities (i.e., transmission lines and pipelines) and to inform landowners of their rights in negotiating right-of-way agreements.

Public Utilities Commission and Permits for Energy Facilities

The State of Minnesota has established a state policy of locating energy facilities in an orderly manner compatible with environmental preservation, sustainable development, and efficient use of resources. The Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (PUC) has authority to issue permits for certain energy facilities in the state, including power plants, transmission lines, wind farms, and pipelines. When it issues such permits, the PUC must choose sites and routes that minimize adverse human and environmental impacts while ensuring energy system reliability and sufficient energy supplies.

The land required for a specific energy facility may impact multiple landowners. In order to ensure that the particular land and rights-of-way required for an energy facility can be obtained for a project, Minnesota law gives utilities the power of eminent domain. Because the general public interest is enhanced by the addition of necessary energy facilities, the power of eminent domain allows utilities to obtain property rights even if landowners are unwilling to negotiate right-of-way agreements. Thus, Minnesota law gives utilities the power to acquire or "take" property interests (generally easements) by condemnation for constructing energy

facilities.

Route Permits: Route permits issued by the PUC for transmission lines and pipelines specify a route width and a right-of-way (ROW) width. The route width is typically larger than the ROW width - providing flexibility in ROW placement within the route to address human and environmental concerns that arise after the permit has been issued. For example, the permitted ROW might be 150 ft. wide for a transmission line, but the permitted route might be 1000 ft. wide. The route is larger than the ROW to provide flexibility in locating and constructing the energy facility. But the route is also specific - it identifies where the energy facility must be placed, i.e. within the route. Thus, the route provides flexibility and predictability; it specifies where the energy facility must go and facilitates best placement of the facility within the route.

Site permits: The PUC typically issues site permits for electric power plants and wind farms. A PUC site permit will specify the site of the energy facility, with limited flexibility for locating and constructing the project. Eminent domain authority is not common for power plant sites, and is not available for wind farms.

Rights-of-way

The right-of-way (ROW) is the physical land area within a route that is needed to construct and operate the energy facility. A utility is interested in having rights to this land area sufficient to meet these uses. These rights may be obtained through one of two means: (1) purchase of an easement for the ROW, or (2) purchase of the land

outright (fee simple ownership). An outright land purchase is less common because utilities seek only enough land interests to build and safely operate the facility, and fee simple ownership may not be necessary to accomplish this goal.

10.26.10

A ROW agreement is a private agreement between a landowner and a utility. The PUC does not involve itself with negotiations between landowners and utilities and has no authority over this process. However, the PUC

does enforce the permits which it issues, including permit conditions related to design, construction, maintenance, and restoration within the ROW.

Easements

The most common form of right-of-way (ROW) agreement is an easement, which is a legal interest in real property that transfers a partial property right to the holder of the easement (e.g., from a landowner to a utility). The easement agreement specifies restrictions on both the utility's and the landowner's use of the land and specifies the rights of the utility to enter and use the land. It is binding upon the utility, the landowner, and any future owners of the land unless and until the easement is discharged. The easement will be recorded in the county in which it is located in the same manner as other real estate transactions.

Easement agreements allow only what is described by the terms of the easement – e.g., to "build and maintain a 20 inch oil pipeline" or "build and maintain a 115 kilovolt (kV) transmission line." Easements last for as long as the utility uses and maintains the energy facility in the ROW. If the utility abandons or removes the facility, the property interest transferred by the easement may return to the landowner (Minn. Stat. §117.225).

Easements typically describe allowable uses and restricted uses by the landowner. In general, the primary land use restrictions for transmission line ROWs include tall trees and buildings; for pipeline ROWs they include buildings, trees, shrubs, and brush.

ROW maintenance typically includes removing trees and other vegetation as needed within the ROW, and may

include application of herbicides. Landowners with requirements for management of their land, e.g., organic certification prohibiting the use of certain pesticides, can discuss these requirements during their easement negotiations with the utility or its agent. notify landowners before beginning typically maintenance activities in the ROW; notification requirements may be part of the easement agreement. Some general conditions addressing the needs of landowners may be included in the permit issued by the In addition, the permitting process includes PUC. preparation of an Agricultural Impact Mitigation Plan (AIMP) by the Minnesota Department of Agriculture. The AIMP may include provisions dealing with organic farming as well as traditional agricultural uses.

In some instances, utilities require additional space during construction of an energy facility. This additional space is called "temporary work space" and is included in the ROW agreement, but is not part of the permanent easement. As the name implies, this work space is temporary and is typically used to place construction materials or provide operating room for machinery, e.g., room to maneuver and operate a pipeline drill. Unlike an easement, the property interest in the temporary work space reverts back to the landowner upon completion of the described work. A description of temporary work space, its uses, and duration should be part of ROW negotiations.

Negotiating

All parts of the ROW agreement are negotiable, whether it is the purchase of an easement or fee simple ownership. Landowners can negotiate terms in the ROW agreement that will avoid or reduce negative impacts to their property. They can negotiate compensation for the ROW. Utilities, in turn, have an obligation to negotiate terms that meet the needs of their proposed facility. A satisfactory ROW agreement is likely best achieved if the landowner and the utility have reasonable expectations and negotiate in good faith.

Utilities have a statutory incentive to negotiate in good faith – if their last written offer to a landowner is significantly different from the final judgment of an eminent domain proceeding, the landowner can get attorney fees and other reasonable costs reimbursed 10.26.10

(Minn. Stat. §117.031).

Typically a utility real estate agent contacts a landowner to purchase an easement for a specific parcel or strip of land that is to be used for a ROW. The utility may offer a standard easement agreement and an amount of money it is willing to pay for the easement. The offer will reflect the utility's assessment of the fair market value of the easement. Typically a landowner does not sign an easement agreement without first reading it, asking questions, and negotiating terms. A landowner may wish to obtain an independent appraisal of his or her land value, speak to other landowners about possible ROW agreements and conditions, or hire an attorney or other person to negotiate on the landowner's behalf.

2

Easement and purchase agreements are legal documents and should, to the extent possible, include detailed and precise language. In general, it is a good idea to include in the easement agreement details about the ROW, its uses, and parties' rights and responsibilities. Blanket easements, i.e., easements that do not identify the exact location of the ROW, were common at one time in Minnesota. More contemporary easements identify and define the land area of the ROW.

If a landowner feels confused or overwhelmed during

negotiations, he or she may wish to consult an attorney or "take a timeout" from negotiating until they get a better understanding of the process. Generally, time spent negotiating is time well spent – eminent domain proceedings can be time consuming and expensive.

Ultimately, each ROW agreement is unique – reflecting the values and interests of the landowner and the utility. These interests typically are not mutually exclusive and a satisfactory ROW agreement can most often be reached through negotiation.

Determining Value

A common point of negotiation in ROW agreements is the amount of money that a landowner should receive for the ROW, be it an easement or fee simple purchase. By law, landowners are due just compensation for their property. Landowners often have questions regarding what values should be included in this compensation and how these values are calculated.

To begin with, the value of the land itself – the physical length and width of the ROW – should be included. It is possible to estimate the fair market value of this land with established appraisal methods. Fair market value is the amount a willing buyer would pay a willing seller, taking into consideration the highest and best use to which the property can be put. Fair market value is typically estimated in one of four ways: (1) comparing the property to similar properties that have been sold recently, (2) estimating the future income producing capability of the property, (3) estimating the cost to replace an existing structure on the property, and (4) estimating the value of planned development on the property. These methods are not conclusive but can be used to support or defend a particular value.

A utility must provide an appraisal of the property to the landowner. The landowner may also obtain an appraisal and can be reimbursed for the reasonable costs associated with the appraisal (Minn. Stat. §117.036). If the utility is purchasing an easement, it is not purchasing all of the land rights associated with the ROW. Thus, the utility may propose paying a percentage of the fair market value of the property. This percentage is a point of negotiation between the landowner and utility.

Landowners can include damages as part of their compensation – e.g., crop damage or drain tile damage due to construction or maintenance of the energy facility. Landowners can negotiate how this value is calculated. Landowners and utilities value their time; thus, time could be appropriately valued and included in the compensation of the ROW agreement.

Another value that landowners may desire to include is any change in the value of their property that is not in the ROW, but is adjacent to it. It may be difficult to determine if a ROW and associated energy facility will affect the value of adjoining property, and if so, to what extent. Numerous studies have been conducted on this issue with varying results. Some general trends have been revealed by these studies. First, when negative impacts on property values occur due to establishment of a ROW, they tend to be in the range of a 1 to 10 percent reduction in value. Conversely, in some cases, the impacts can be positive. Second, negative impacts are most often attributed to the unattractiveness of the energy facility, fear of potential health effects, noise (during operation and maintenance, depending on the type of facility), and safety concerns. Third, the presence of the ROW and energy facility is not the primary determinant of property value. Neighborhood characteristics, lot size, schools, land characteristics, and improvements are all better predictors of property value. Fourth, the impact on property value from a ROW decreases the further away the property is from the ROW. Thus, impacts are usually greater for smaller properties than for larger properties.

Studies notwithstanding, every landowner has a unique relationship with his or her property and thus valuing impacts to property adjacent to a ROW can be challenging. If a landowner determines that he or she cannot continue living on property with an energy facility ROW easement, the landowner can, with some limitations, require that the utility purchase the landowner's entire property in fee simple (Minn. Stat. §216E.12).

A value that generally is not included in ROW agreements is the value of the energy moving through the energy facility (e.g., transmission line, pipeline). In contrast, landowners with agreements to allow wind turbines to be placed on their property can receive payments that reflect the wind energy generated on their

10.26.10

property. In these cases, the wind farm developer is purchasing a property right that includes a known energy source, the wind. ROW agreements for transmission lines and pipelines do not anticipate drawing or creating energy from the ROW; thus, there is no energy value to speak of and no energy value appears in the ROW agreement.

Eminent Domain

"Eminent domain" is the power to take privately owned property, particularly land, and convert it to public use, subject to reasonable compensation for the taking. Despite good faith negotiations, it's possible that a landowner and utility will not reach agreement on the terms of a ROW agreement. Under these circumstances, once it obtains the necessary PUC permits, the utility has the right to use eminent domain power to initiate condemnation proceedings. However, until the utility receives the necessary permits, it may not initiate such a proceeding.

Minnesota Statutes Chapter 117 (Minn. Stat. §117, titled "Eminent Domain") describes the procedures to be followed for condemnation proceedings in Minnesota. The intent of Chapter 117 is to determine, through a fair process, what payment is due the landowner for the use of his or her land. Chapter 117 provides protections for landowners. The utility must negotiate in good faith with the landowner, and provide the landowner with a copy of an appraisal of the property (Minn. Stat. §117.036). The landowner can obtain an appraisal and may be reimbursed, within statutory limits, for the reasonable costs of this appraisal (Minn. Stat. §117.036).

The utility begins a condemnation proceeding by filing a petition with the appropriate District Court. Landowners must receive notice of the petition (Minn. Stat. §117.055). A landowner may object to the granting of the petition and may appeal the issuance of a petition. If the petition is granted, the title to the land is transferred to the utility, and the issue of compensation is taken up. The Court must appoint three impartial commissioners for the condemnation proceeding to ascertain the amount of compensation due to the landowner for the taking of the land (Minn. Stat. §117.075). The commissioners have broad powers to hear and consider "allegations and proofs of all persons interested" (Minn. Stat. §117.085). The commissioners' decision is considered final; however, landowners may appeal the decision and may request a jury trial.

A landowner who chooses not to negotiate a ROW on his or her property and instead requires the utility to use eminent domain power by initiating a condemnation process, would likely benefit from legal counsel. Condemnation proceedings can be time consuming and expensive; however, some landowners may feel this time and expense is necessary and worthwhile.

References and Resources

- Minnesota Statutes, Law, and Rules, http://www.revisor.mn.gov/pubs/
- Minnesota Session Laws, 2010, Chapter 288, https://www.revisor.mn.gov/laws/?id=288&doctype=Chapter&year=2010&type=0
- "Eminent Domain: Just Compensation," Minnesota House of Representatives, House Research, http://www.house.leg.state.mn.us/hrd/pubs/ss/clssedjust.htm
- Resources on Minnesota Issues, Eminent Domain, Minnesota Legislative Reference Library, http://www.leg.state.mn.us/lrl/issues/eminentdomain.asp
- "Summary Guide to Eminent Domain," Bruce D. Malkerson, Howard A. Roston, and Patrick B. Steinhoff (2006), available for purchase from Minnesota CLE, http://www.minncle.org
- "Right-of-Way and Easements for Electric Facility Construction," Public Service Commission of Wisconsin, http://psc.wi.gov/thelibrary/publications/electric/electric02.pdf
- "The Effects of Overhead Transmission Lines on Property Values: A Review and Analysis of the Literature," Cynthia A. Kroll and Thomas Priestley, Edison Electric Institute (1992), http://staff.haas.berkeley.edu/kroll/pubs/tranline.pdf
- "Do High Voltage Electric Transmission Lines Affect Property Value?," Stanley W. Hamilton and Gregory M. Schwann, *Land Economics*, Vol. 71, No. 4 (Nov., 1995), p. 436-444
- "The Impact of Transmission Lines on Property Values: Coming to Terms with Stigma," Peter Elliott, David Wadley, *Property Management*, 20(2), (2002), p. 137-152. http://espace.library.uq.edu.au/eserv/UQ:8095/dw pm 02.pdf
- "Power Lines and Property Values Revisited," Jennifer M. Pitts, Thomas O. Jackson, Appraisal Journal, Fall 2007, http://www.entrepreneur.com/tradejournals/article/171851335.html

Minnesota Department of Commerce, Office of Energy Security 85 7th Place East, Suite 500, Saint Paul, MN 55101 651.296.4026

http://energyfacilities.puc.state.mn.us

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Sharon Ferguson, hereby certify that I have this day, served copies of the following document on the attached list of persons by electronic filing, e-mail, or by depositing a true and correct copy thereof properly enveloped with postage paid in the United States Mail at St. Paul, Minnesota.

Minnesota Office of Energy Security Letter and Fact Sheet

Docket No. ET2/TL-08-1474

Dated this 26th of October, 2010

/s/Sharon Ferguson

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Lisa	Agrimonti	lagrimonti@briggs.com	Briggs And Morgan, P.A.	2200 IDS Center80 South 8th Street Minneapolis, MN	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
				55402			
Julia	Anderson	Julia.Anderson@state.mn.u s	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012131	Paper Service	Yes	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
Robert	Bauer	BauerR@seversonsheldon. com	Severson, Sheldon, Dougherty & Molenda, P.A.	7300 West 147th Street, Suite 600	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
				Apple Valley, MN 55124			
Bob	Cupit	bob.cupit@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	Suite 350 121 7th Place East St. Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
Scott	Ek	scott.ek@state.mn.us	Department of Commerce	N/A	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 500 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
Burl W.	Haar	burl.haar@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	Suite 350 121 7th Place East St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
Karen Finstad	Hammel	Karen.Hammel@state.mn. us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, MN 551012131	Paper Service	Yes	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
Valerie	Herring	vherring@briggs.com	Briggs and Morgan, P.A.	2200 IDS Center 80 S. Eighth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
Michael	Kaluzniak	mike.kaluzniak@state.mn.u s	Public Utilities Commission	Suite 350 121 Seventh Place Ea St. Paul, MN 55101	Paper Service st	No	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
Michael	Klemm	KlemmM@seversonsheldo n.com	Severson, Sheldon, Dougherty & Molenda, P.A	7300 West 147th Street, Suite 600	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
				Apple Valley, MN 55124			

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Phillip R.	Krass	rod.krass@mgmllp.com	Malkerson Gunn Martin LLP	Suite 1900 220 South Sixth Stree Minneapolis, MN 55402	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
Michael	Krikava	mkrikava@briggs.com	Briggs And Morgan, P.A.	2200 IDS Center80 South 8th Street Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
Brent	Larson	N/A		25755 Xerxes Avenue Elko-New Market, MN 55020	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
John	Lindell	agorud.ecf@state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	900 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012130	Paper Service	Yes	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
Richard C.	Luis	Richard.Luis@state.mn.us	Office of Administrative Hearings	PO Box 64620 St. Paul, MN 551640620	Paper Service	Yes	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
Paula	Maccabee	Pmaccabee@visi.com	Just Change Law Offices	1961 Selby Avenue St. Paul, MN 55104	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
Russell	Martin	bens@integra.net		11600 270th Street Elko, MN 55020	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
Joyce H.	Osborn			PO Box 1165 Burnsville, MN 55337	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
Carol	Overland	overland@legalectric.org	Legalectric, Inc.	P.O. Box 176 Red Wing, MN 55066	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
Priti R.	Patel	priti.r.patel@xcelenergy.co m	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall MP 800 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Deborah	Pile	Deborah.Pile@state.mn.us	Department of Commerce	Suite 50085 7th Place East St. Paul, MN	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
				551012198			
Craig	Poorker	cpoorker@grenergy.com	Great River Energy	12300 Elm Creek Boulevard Maple Grove, MN 55369	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
Pamela J.	Rasmussen	pamela.jo.rasmussen@xcel energy.com	Xcel Energy	PO Box 8 1414 West Hamilton Avenue Eau Claire, WI 54701	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
Laureen	Ross McCalib	lrossmccalib@grenergy.co m	CapX2020/Great RIver Energy	12300 Elm Creek Boulevard Maple Grove, MN 553694718	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
Carole	Schmidt	cschmidt@grenergy.com	Great River Energy	12300 Elm Creek Boulevard Maple Grove, MN 553694718	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
Janet	Shaddix Elling	jshaddix@janetshaddix.co m	Shaddix And Associates	Ste 122 9100 W Bloomington Bloomington, MN 55431	Electronic Service Frwy	Yes	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7- 1-2009
Donna	Stephenson	dstephenson@grenergy.co m	Great River Energy	12300 Elm Creek Boulevard Maple Grove, MN 55369	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_8-1474_CC-SL-7-1-2009