

Sept 25, 2011

To: State of Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

From: Robert and Pauline Undersander


Re: In the Matter of the HVTL Route Permit for the Fargo to St. Cloud 345 kV
Transmission Line Project

Regarding your letter dated September 21st, 2011, we request that you delay approval of the permittees's Route Amendment #15 pending an investigation by the Minnesota State Attorney General concerning the qualification of our route amendment request in the same geographical area.


We believe that the PUC Executive Secretary's determination that our September 14th route amendment request does not qualify and is untimely is a prejudicial opinion based neither on MN rules, nor law, and without legal precedent. Our request was very timely, (one week prior to the applicant's route amendment requests), and compliant. Mr. Haar's statement that the requests contain no new information is incorrect. Our requests' number one reason are the comments made by the Commission during the June 10th public meeting, which indicated support for a route amendment in this area. We believe the Commission's decision not to permit route AS-5 was influenced by the applicant's statement that our concerns could be accommodated by a route amendment.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,



Robert Undersander
8497 Old Hwy Rd N
St. Cloud, MN 56301



Pauline Undersander