

Attachment B

Affidavit of Jen Langdon

Landowner at 5802 Highway 19, Cannon Falls

**STATE OF MINNESOTA
BEFORE THE
MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

**Beverly Heydinger
J. Dennis O'Brien
David C. Boyd
Phyhs A. Reha
Betsy Wergin**

**Chair
Commissioner
Commissioner
Commissioner
Commissioner**

**In the Matter of Application for a Route Permit
for the CapX 2020 Hampton-Rochester-LaCrosse
High Voltage Transmission Project**

MPUC: E002/TL-09-1448

**MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION
SUPPORTING LANDOWNER AFFIDAVIT**

STATE OF MINNESOTA)
) ss.
COUNTY OF GOODHUE)

I, Jennifer Langdon, states and deposes as follows:

1. My name is Jennifer Langdon and my husband Tim and I own property at 5802 Hwy 19 Blvd., Cannon Falls, Minnesota, 55009.
2. My family is potentially affected by the CapX 2020 Hampton-Rochester-La Crosse transmission line. We have lived here for 10 years. It will go right alongside and behind our property, or cross a corner of it, depending on the alignment chosen.
3. I did not receive proper notice of the very late addition of a potential route that affected my property. The notice I did receive was a phone call about one day before the Public Hearing at the school saying it is "a highly unlikely route" and that basically, the call was just a formality, and that I should not at all be concerned.
4. The Administrative Law Judge recommended 1P-003, and despite that, and despite the phone call stating that it was a "highly unlikely route," the route addition was chosen by the Public Utilities Commission.
5. I am very concerned and distressed by three items:
 - a. The misleading phone call saying it was a highly unlikely route and not to be concerned led me to believe that there was no need for action..
 - b. Late notification and **process** not being followed properly in regards to adding on this route.

- i. I heard Matt Langan saying "routes cannot be added after scoping decision is put into place" to a distraught landowner at the public hearing, but then Xcel can put a new route in, that's not right under the rules.
- ii. Xcel and Energy Facilities Permitting are trying to use EIS information for another route and say it covers this one. This route has not been evaluated for environmental impacts.
- c. Not following routes of existing transmission lines. If you drive along Harry Ave, the route recommended by the ALJ, there are numerous existing powerlines. These were not made visible on some of the Maps at the PUC meeting. They were also not in the EIS. I was very disturbed by this process because it appeared misleading, it is misleading, when routing focuses on existing transmission lines, and on Harry Avenue, transmission lines exist and are not shown.

6. Below is a photo of the Harry Avenue transmission lines taken near the park entrance:



Further your affiant sayeth naught.

Signed and sworn to before me this
18th day of June, 2012.

Judith A. Betcher
Notary Public

Jennifer Langdon
Jennifer Langdon

