## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF WISCONSIN

Joint Application of American Transmission	)	
Company LLC and Northern States Power	)	Docket No. 5-CE-142
Company - Wisconsin, as Electric Public	)	
Utilities, For Authority to Construct and	)	
Operate a New 345 kV Transmission Line	)	
from the La Crosse area, in La Crosse County,	)	
to the greater Madison area in Dane County,	)	
Wisconsin.	)	

## SUR-SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JAMES HODGSON IN SUPPORT OF THE JOINT APPLICATION

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page	
INTRODUCTION	1	Field Cod
CETE/SOLII	1	Field Cod

## 1 INTRODUCTION 2 0. Please state your name, employer, title and business address. 3 My name is James Hodgson. I am employed by ATC Management, Inc., the corporate A. 4 manager of American Transmission Company LLC (collectively "ATC"), as a Business Development Strategist. My business address is W234 N2000 Ridgeview Parkway Ct., 5 6 Waukesha, Wisconsin 53188-1022. 7 O. Did you submit direct and rebuttal testimony in this proceeding? 8 Α Yes I did. 9 What is the purpose of your sur-surrebuttal testimony? Q. 10 I will respond to the following surrebuttal testimony: A. 11 Surrebuttal-CETF/SOUL-Lanzalotta. Have you reviewed this surrebuttal testimony and any accompanying exhibits? 12 Q. Yes I have. 13 A. 14 **CETF/SOUL** 15 Q. In Surrebuttal-CETF/SOUL-Lanzalotta, Mr. Lanzalotta implies on page 4 of his 16 testimony that the only reason the Project is superior to the Low Voltage alternative 17 is because the "identified benefits from Badger Coulee . . . to Wisconsin ratepayers are greater than the 7% or so of the BC costs that Wisconsin ratepayers will have to 18 19 pay for." Would you care to comment?

Yes. My calculation of the total net benefits to Wisconsin ratepayers of the Project used a

conservative discount rate of 6.7% over the forty year analysis period. While I still

believe it is appropriate to use the 6.7% discount rate for the analysis, FERC's current

rate is 3.25%. If I had used this 3.25% figure as the discount rate in my analysis, the total

20

21

22

23

A.

- net benefit of the Slow Growth future would increase from a net benefit of \$130.54
- 2 million to a total net benefit of \$301.27 million.
- 3 Q. Does this complete your sur-surrebuttal testimony?
- 4 A. Yes.